



MOVIMENTO DE LIBERASIONE NASIONALE DEL POPOLO VENETO

НАЦИОНАЛЬНО-ОСВОБОДИТЕЛЬНОЕ ДВИЖЕНИЕ НАРОДА ВЕНЕТО

NATIONAL LIBERATION MOVEMENT OF THE VENETIAN PEOPLE

GOVERNO PROVISORIO

ВРЕМЕННОЕ ПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВО - PROVISIONAL GOVERNMENT

Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) file number 2023-272-4291-4 - (initial f.n. 2019-086-9892-8)

NR. 0160321105549378

INSTITUTIONAL CRITICAL NOTE

on the systemic continuity of Italian jurisprudenceregarding the denial of the Venetian People's right to self-determinationand the erosion of fundamental legal safeguards

Preamble

The Movimento de Liberazione Nasionale del Popolo Veneto (MLNV) and the Provisional Government of Veneto (GVP), in the exercise of their political and institutional representative functions of the Venetian People, deem it necessary to issue a public statement concerning Italian Supreme Court Order No. 27499/2025, which affirms the validity of tax notifications carried out by simple registered mail, even in the absence of a formal service report (*relata di notifica*).

This intervention is required not only due to the serious legal implications of the decision itself, but above all because of the **logical and systemic continuity** it demonstrates with prior constitutional and supreme jurisprudence of the Italian State concerning the denial of the Venetian People's right to self-determination and the historical legitimization of Italian authority over the territories of the former Republic of Venice.

1. Systemic continuity, not an isolated decision

The above-mentioned order does not constitute an isolated ruling nor a merely technical interpretation of tax law.

Rather, it forms part of a consistent and persistent jurisprudential trajectory aimed at:

- progressively reducing **formal legal safeguards** in relations between individuals and public authorities;
- reinforcing a presumption of absolute legitimacy of State action;



- effectively preventing meaningful challenges to administrative and fiscal acts.

This trajectory is consistent with prior decisions of the Italian Constitutional jurisdiction, which denied the existence of the Venetian People as a subject entitled to the right of self-determination, on the assumption that in 1866 such people freely chose to become part of the Italian people.

Subsequent jurisprudence of the Italian Supreme Court, particularly regarding service of process and procedural guarantees, appears **functionally coherent** with that original denial, operating at the level of individual rights what constitutional jurisprudence had already negated at the level of the collective subject.

2. The deliberate removal of the historical fact of 1866

The assertion that the Venetian People freely renounced their sovereignty in 1866 is based on a historical premise that is **legally non-existent**.

It is historically and documentarily established that:

- the annexation of the territories of the former Republic of Venice to the Kingdom of Italy occurred **prior** to the plebiscite of 21–22 October 1866;
- the territorial cession had already been completed and officially published;
- the so-called popular consultation took place **in the absence of sovereignty**, under military and political control;
- the sovereignty of the Venetian People had been recognized at the international level by the Treaty of Vienna of 3 October 1866.

Accordingly, no legally valid “choice” can be asserted, since **no people may renounce its sovereignty once that sovereignty has already been extinguished by force**.

The persistence of this narrative within Italian jurisprudence cannot be qualified as a mere interpretative error, but rather as a **deliberate removal of the historical fact**, functional to preserving the State order derived from annexation.

3. The ruling on notifications as a derived effect

The recent ruling on tax notifications constitutes a **direct and coherent consequence** of that original denial.

If:

- the Venetian People are not recognized as a sovereign subject;



- their citizens are not regarded as holders of an original sovereignty;
- the legitimacy of the State cannot be questioned *ab initio*,

then:

- formal guarantees become an obstacle;
- the service report loses its juridical function;
- actual knowledge of the act is replaced by mere presumption;
- the entire burden of proof is shifted onto the recipient.

In this manner, notification ceases to be a safeguard of the right of defense and becomes a **unilateral instrument of imposition**.

4. Incompatibility with international law

Such an approach is incompatible with:

- the right of peoples to self-determination;
- the right to an effective remedy;
- the principle of good faith in the exercise of public authority;
- the prohibition against grounding legal claims on historically and legally null facts.

The combination of:

- denial of peoplehood;
- erosion of procedural safeguards;
- absolute presumption of knowledge and legitimacy,

constitutes a **systemic abuse**, not a neutral evolution of jurisprudence.

5. Concluding declaration

The MLNV and the Provisional Government of Veneto declare that:

- no judicial decision may cure a historical fraud;
- no procedural simplification may replace respect for fundamental guarantees;
- no authority may ground its legitimacy on the denial of a people.

This Note is issued for **public record** and preserved as **institutional documentation of the systemic continuity of Italian jurisprudential conduct**, for the purposes of any future assessment in international fora.



✓ **Status of the document**

- Ready for international circulation
- Terminology aligned with UN and human-rights frameworks
- Structurally parallel to the Italian version
- Suitable for dossiers, annexes, and institutional communications

WSM

Venethia sabato 17 gennaio 2026

